arXiv:1606.08428v1 [math.RA] 27 Jun 2016

RIGIDITY OF DOWN-UP ALGEBRAS WITH RESPECT TO FINITE GROUP COACTIONS

J. CHEN, E. KIRKMAN AND J.J. ZHANG

Abstract. If G is a nontrivial finite group coacting on a graded noetherian down-up algebra A inner faithfully and homogeneously, then the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is not isomorphic to A. Therefore graded noetherian down-up algebras are rigid with respect to finite group coactions, in the sense of Alev-Polo. An example is given to show that this rigidity under group coactions does not have all the same consequences as the rigidity under group actions.

0. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $\Bbbk$ be a base field that is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, and let all vector spaces, (co)algebras, and morphisms be over $\Bbbk$.

A remarkable theorem of Alev-Polo [AP, Theorem 1] states:

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ be two semisimple Lie algebras. Let G be a finite group of algebra automorphisms of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that the fixed subring $U(\mathfrak{g})^G$ is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{g}')$. Then G is trivial and $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}'$.

Alev-Polo called this result a rigidity theorem for universal enveloping algebras. In addition, they proved a rigidity theorem for the Weyl algebras [AP, Theorem 2]. Kuzmanovich and the second- and third-named authors proved Alev-Polo's rigidity theorems in the graded case in [KKZ1, Theorem 0.2 and Corollary 0.4].

(Commutative) polynomial rings are not rigid; indeed, by the classical Shephard-Todd-Chevalley Theorem if G is a reflection group acting on a commutative polynomial ring A then A^G is isomorphic to A. Artin-Schelter regular algebras [AS] are considered to be a natural analogue of polynomial rings in many respects. This paper concerns a class of noncommutative Artin-Schelter regular algebras. The rigidity of a noncommutative algebra is closely related to the lack of reflections in the noncommutative setting [KKZ1]. Therefore the rigidity of an algebra leads to a trivialization of the Shephard-Todd-Chevalley theorem [ST, KKZ2], which is one of the key results in noncommutative invariant theory [Ki]. The rigidity property is also related to Watanabe's criterion for the Gorenstein property, see [KKZ3, Theorem 4.10]. Some recent work in noncommutative algebraic geometry connects the rigidity property and the lack of reflections to Auslander's theorem [BHZ], which is one of the fundamental ingredients in the McKay correspondence [CKWZ1, CKWZ2]. Further understanding of the rigidity property will have implications for several other research directions.

In [KKZ5], rigidity with respect to group coactions is studied. Let A be a connected ($\mathbb{N}$-)graded $\Bbbk$-algebra. A G-coaction on A (preserving the $\mathbb{N}$-grading)

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E10, 16W22.

Key words and phrases. Down-up algebra, coaction, rigidity, Artin-Schelter regular algebra, homological determinant.

is equivalent to a G-grading of A (compatible with the original $\mathbb{N}$-grading), and the fixed subring A^{coG} is A_e, the component of the unit element $e \in A$ under the G-grading. We recall a definition [KKZ5, Definition 0.8]: we say that a connected graded algebra A is *rigid with respect to group coactions* if for every nontrivial finite group G coacting on A homogeneously and inner faithfully, the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is not isomorphic to A as algebras. The following Artin-Schelter regular algebras are rigid with respect to group coactions [KKZ5, Theorem 0.9]:

(a) The homogenization of the universal enveloping algebra of a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra.
(b) The Rees ring of the Weyl algebra with respect to the standard filtration.
(c) The non-PI Sklyanin algebras of global dimension at least 3.

These results can be viewed as dual versions of the rigidity theorems proved in [KKZ1, Theorem 0.2 and Corollary 0.4].

Down-up algebras were introduced by Benkart-Roby in [BR] as a tool to study the structure of certain posets. Graded noetherian down-up algebras are Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension three with two generators [KMP]. We recall the definition of only a graded noetherian down-up algebra. For α and β scalars in $\Bbbk$, let the *down-up algebra* $\mathbb{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ be the algebra generated by u and d and subject to the relations

$$u^2 d = \alpha udu + \beta du^2, \tag{E0.0.1}$$

$$ud^2 = \alpha dud + \beta d^2 u. \tag{E0.0.2}$$

When $\alpha = 0$ we denote the down-up algebra $\mathbb{D}(0, \beta)$ by $\mathbb{D}_\beta$. In this paper we always assume that $\beta \neq 0$, or equivalently, $\mathbb{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a graded noetherian Artin-Schelter regular algebra of global dimension three. The groups of algebra automorphisms of down-up algebras (which depend upon the values of α and β) were computed in [KK]. These groups are rich enough to provide many nontrivial examples. Some invariant theoretic aspects of down-up algebras have been studied in [KK, KKZ4].

There is a rigidity result concerning group actions on down-up algebras, see [KKZ1, Proposition 6.4]. The only theorem in this paper is the following rigidity result for group coactions on graded noetherian down-up algebras.

Theorem 0.1. *Let A be a graded noetherian down-up algebra $\mathbb{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ and let G be a nontrivial finite group coacting on A inner faithfully and homogeneously. Then the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is not Artin-Schelter regular. As a consequence, A is rigid with respect to finite group coactions.*

One can also consider general Hopf algebra actions on the down-up algebras. The following conjecture is reasonable.

Conjecture 0.2. *The graded noetherian down-up algebras are rigid with respect to semisimple Hopf algebra actions in the sense of* [KKZ5, Remark 0.10].

In a slightly different language, Theorem 0.1 says that graded noetherian down-up algebras do not admit "dual reflection groups" for group coactions in the sense of [KKZ5, Definition 0.1]. A result in [KKZ3, Corollary 4.11] states: *Let B be a noetherian Artin-Schelter regular domain, and let G be a finite group acting on B homogeneously. Suppose that G contains no quasi-reflection. Then the fixed subring B^G is Artin-Schelter Gorenstein if and only if the homological determinant of the G-action is trivial.* When A is a graded noetherian down-up algebra, A does not

have a quasi-reflection of finite order by [KKZ1, Proposition 6.4]. Therefore A^G is AS Gorenstein if and only if the G-action has trivial homological determinant. In [KKZ3, Theorem 3.6] it is shown that if H is a semisimple Hopf algebra acting on an AS regular algebra with trivial homological determinant, then A^H is AS Gorenstein; it is reasonable to ask whether the converse holds for algebras with no "dual reflection groups". Hence Theorem 0.1 suggests the following question in the group coaction setting: *Let A be a graded noetherian down-up algebra with a finite group G-coaction. If the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is AS Gorenstein, must the homological determinant of the G-coaction be trivial?*

We conclude the paper with an example (Example 2.1) that provides a negative answer to the above question, indicating a difference between the invariant theory under group actions and the invariant theory under group coactions (or more generally, under Hopf algebra actions). It would be interesting to develop further tools that would determine precisely when, under a G-coaction, the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is AS Gorenstein, and, more generally, when the homological determinant of a Hopf action being trivial is a necesary condition for A^H to be AS Gorenstein.

Acknowledgments. J. Chen was partially supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11571286) and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (Grant No. 2016J01031). E. Kirkman was partially supported by grant #208314 from the Simons Foundation. J.J. Zhang was partially supported by the US National Science Foundation (Grant No. DMS 1402863).

1. Proof of Theorem 0.1

Some basic definitions can be found in [BB, KKZ5]; for example, inner faithful is defined in [BB, Definition 2.7]. Artin-Schelter regular will be abbreviated by AS regular; for the definition see [KKZ5, Definition 1.1]. We first recall some basic facts about down-up algebras from [BR, KK, KMP, KKZ4].

Lemma 1.1. *Let A be the down-up algebra $\mathbb{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ where $\beta \neq 0$.*

(1) *A is a connected graded noetherian AS regular domain of global dimension three.*
(2) *The Hilbert series of A is $((1-t)^2(1-t^2))^{-1}$.*
(3) *$\{u^i(du)^j d^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0\}$ is a $\Bbbk$-linear basis of A.*

The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 1.2. *Let A be a noetherian connected graded AS regular algebra of global dimension three. Then A is generated by either two or three elements.*

Proof. This is [AS, Proposition 1.5] when A is generated in degree 1 and [Ste, Proposition 1.1(i)] when A is not generated in degree 1. □

It is well-known that, for every finite group G, a left $(\Bbbk G)^*$-action on an algebra A is equivalent to a right G-coaction on A. Since $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, if G is a finite abelian group, the Hopf algebra $\Bbbk G$ is isomorphic to its dual $(\Bbbk G)^*$. This fact implies that a right G-coaction on A is equivalent to some left G-action on A. We will use these facts freely. The following lemma is a rigidity result for abelian G-coactions.

Lemma 1.3. *Let A be a graded noetherian down-up algebra and G be a nontrivial finite abelian group coacting on A. Then the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is not AS regular.*

Proof. If G is abelian, $\Bbbk G$ is isomorphic to $(\Bbbk G)^*$ as Hopf algebras. Since G is abelian coacting on A, there is a G-action on A such that $A^{co\,G} = A^G$. The assertion is a consequence of [KKZ1, Proposition 6.4]. □

We consider, first, the case when $\alpha = 0$ and G coacts homogeneously on the generators u and d. Note that although we show that $\mathbb{D}_\beta$ is rigid with respect to these group coactions, the algebra can be graded by many different groups.

Proposition 1.4. *Let A be the algebra $\mathbb{D}_\beta$ and G be a nontrivial finite group coacting on A such that u and d are G-homogeneous. Then the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is not AS regular.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.3, we can assume that G is not abelian. Let $\deg_G u = a$ and $\deg_G d = b$; then G is generated by $\Re := \{a, b\}$. Using the relations $u^2 d = \beta d u^2$ and $ud^2 = \beta d^2 u$, we obtain that a^2 and b^2 are in the center of G. This implies that the orders of a and b are even. Let i, j, k and l be the smallest positive integers such that $a^i = e$, $b^j = e$, $(ba)^k = e$ and $(ab)^l = e$. Since G is non-abelian, i, j, k, l are all larger than 1, and both of i and j must be even. Then $x := u^i$, $y := d^j$, $z := (du)^k$ and $t := (ud)^l$ are elements in $A^{co\,G}$.

Assume to the contrary that the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is AS regular. By Lemma 1.2, it is generated by at most three elements. Choosing the generators of $A^{co\,G}$ carefully from lower degree to higher, and using the fact that every monomial in u and d is G-homogeneous, we can assume that $A^{co\,G}$ is generated by

$$h_s := u^{m_s}(du)^{n_s} d^{p_s},$$

where s is $1, 2, 3$ or $1, 2$. If $m_s + n_s > 0$ for all s, then $y = d^j$ cannot be generated by $\{h_s\}_s$, a contradiction. Thus $m_s + n_s = 0$ for some s. Similarly, we have $n_s + p_s = 0$ for some s. These facts mean that we have

$$h_1 = u^i = x \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 = d^j = y.$$

Since x and y do not generate z, $A^{co\,G}$ is generated by three elements, namely, by $h_1 = u^i$, $h_2 = d^j$ and $h_3 = u^m(du)^n d^p$. If $m > 0$, then $z = (du)^k$ cannot be generated by h_1, h_2, h_3. Thus $m = 0$. By symmetry, $p = 0$. This implies that

$$h_3 = (du)^n.$$

Since i and j are even, $t = (ud)^l$ cannot be generated by h_1, h_2, h_3. Hence $A^{co\,G}$ is not generated by three (or fewer) elements. This yields a contradiction by Lemma 1.2, and therefore the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is not AS regular. □

Next we consider an algebra $\mathbb{F}$ that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}_{-1}$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the algebra generated by x and y, and subject to the two relations

$$x^3 = yxy \quad \text{and} \quad y^3 = xyx. \tag{E1.4.1}$$

Lemma 1.5. *Retain the above notation.*

(1) *$\mathbb{F}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}_{-1}$.*
(2) *$\mathbb{F}$ is a graded noetherian AS regular domain of global dimension three with Hilbert series $((1-t)^2(1-t^2))^{-1}$.*

Proof. (1) Setting $x = u + d$ and $y = u - d$, then the two relations $x^3 = yxy$ and $y^3 = xyx$ are equivalent to the two relations $u^2d = -du^2$ and $ud^2 = -d^2u$. The assertion follows.

(2) This follows from the fact that all the assertions hold for $\mathbb{D}_{-1}$. □

Next we will apply Bergman's Diamond Lemma [Be] to the algebra $\mathbb{F}$. By [Be], starting with a set of initial relations, we can obtain a complete set of relations that is a reduction system. Then every monomial (or word) becomes reduction-unique by using this complete system.

Lemma 1.6. *Retain the notation as above.*

(1) *Define an order on monomials by extending $x < y$ lexicographically. Then we have a complete set of five relations that is the reduction system in the sense of* [Be, p.180].

$$\begin{aligned} y^3 &= xyx, \\ yxy &= x^3, \\ y^2x^3 &= xyx^2y, \\ yx^2yx &= x^3y^2, \\ yx^4 &= x^4y. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *We also have the other relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} y^4 &= x^4, \\ yxyx &= x^4, \\ xyxy &= y^4. \end{aligned}$$

(3) *There is a $\Bbbk$-linear basis consisting of the monomials of the form*

$$x^i(yx^3)^j(yx^2)^\epsilon(y^2x^2)^k y^a x^b$$

where $i, j, k \geq 0$, ϵ is either 0 or 1, and

$$(a, b) = (0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2)$$

if $j + \epsilon + k = 0$,

$$(a, b) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2)$$

if $j > 0$ and $\epsilon + k = 0$, and

$$(a, b) = (1, 0), (2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2)$$

if $\epsilon + k > 0$.

Proof. (1) The assertion follows by direct computation. In fact, denote the relation $y^3 = xyx$ by (i), and the relation $yxy = x^3$ by (ii). Then, by using (i)+(ii), we have $y^3xy = y^2(yxy) = y^2x^3$ and $y^3xy = y^3(xy) = (xyx)(xy) = xyx^2y$. Thus we obtain the third relation (denote it by (iii)) in the list. By using (ii)+(i), we have $yxy^3 = (yx)y^3 = (yx)xyx = yx^2yx$, and $yxy^3 = (yxy)y^2 = x^3y^2$, and thus the fourth relation (denote it by (iv)) in the list holds. Similarly, by using (ii)+(i), we obtain the fifth relation (denote it by (v)) in the list. Then considering all the other possible cases: (i)+(iii), (i)+(iv), (i)+(v),(ii)+(iii), (ii)+(iv) and (ii)+(v), there are no new relations.

(2) These assertions follow easily.

(3) Every monomial is of the form $x^{i_1}y^{j_1}x^{i_2}y^{j_2}\cdots y^{j_{n-1}}x^{i_n}$, where $n \geq 1$, $i_n \geq 0$, $j_s \geq 1$ and $i_s \geq 1$ for $1 \leq s < n$. By the first relation j_s can be only 1 or 2, and by the fifth relation in part (1), for $s > 1$, i_s can be only 1, 2, or 3.

Take the last term n into consideration. If $n = 1$, we only have x^{i_1}. If $n = 2$, we have only

$$x^iy, \quad x^iy^2, \quad x^iyx, \quad x^iyx^2, \quad x^iyx^3, \quad x^iy^2x, \quad x^iy^2x^2$$

or

$$x^iy^ax^b$$

where $i \geq 0$ and

$$(a, b) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2).$$

For $n \geq 3$, note that in the middle of the monomial, $y^{j_{s-1}}x^{i_s}$ must be yx^2, or yx^3, or y^2x^2. Further, yx^2yx^3, $y^2x^2yx^3$, $y^2x^2yx^2$, yx^2yx^2 cannot appear in the middle of the monomial. Therefore we have

$$x^i(yx^3)^j(yx^2)^\epsilon(y^2x^2)^ky^ax^b$$

where $i, j, k \geq 0$, ϵ is either 0 or 1, and

$$(a, b) = (0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2)$$

if $j + \epsilon + k = 0$,

$$(a, b) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2)$$

if $j > 0$ and $\epsilon + k = 0$, and

$$(a, b) = (1, 0), (2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2)$$

if $\epsilon + k > 0$. By Bergman's Diamond Lemma [Be], all monomials in part (3) form a $\Bbbk$-linear basis of the algebra. □

Let f be a monomial $x_1x_2x_3\cdots x_n$ in $\mathbb{F}$ where x_i is either x or y. A *left subword* of f is a monomial of the form $x_1x_2\cdots x_j$ for $j \leq n$, a *subword* of f is a monomial of the form $x_i\cdots x_j$ for some $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Due to non-trivial relations in $\mathbb{F}$, if $ab = f$ for three monomials a, b, f, it is not necessarily true that a is a left subword of f. The following lemma says that in some special cases, a must be a left subword of f.

Lemma 1.7. *Let f be a subword of $(y^2x^2)^s = yyxxyyxx\cdots yyxx$ for some $s \geq 1$. If $f = ab$ for some monomials a, b in $\mathbb{F}$, then a is a left subword of f.*

Proof. By changing s to a larger number and adding more letters to f and b from the right, we may assume that the degree of f is at least 4. We prove the assertion by induction on the degree of a. Nothing needs to be proved if a has degree 0. Now suppose $\deg a > 0$. There are four different cases for f:

$$f = yyxx\cdots, \quad yxxy\cdots, \quad xxyy\cdots, \quad xyyx\cdots.$$

By Lemma 1.6(3), each f is reduced. Suppose $f = yf'$ is in the first two cases. If $a = ya'$, then, after canceling out y, we have $f' = a'b$, and the assertion follows from the induction. If $a = xa'$, then the reduced form of ab is less than f in the order used in the Diamond Lemma, but this is impossible, and so we are done in this case. Suppose next that $f = xf'$ is in one of the last two cases. If $a = xa'$, then, after canceling out x, we have $f' = a'b$, and the assertion follows from the

induction. The remaining case is $a = ya'$, and we need to consider the following two separate cases for f.

If $f = xxyy\cdots$ and $a = ya'$, then yyf is a subword of $(y^2x^2)^{s+1}$ and $y^2ab = y^3a'b = xyxa'b$, which is less than yyf in the order used in the Diamond Lemma, but this is impossible. If $f = xyyx\cdots$ and $a = ya'$, then $yxf = yxxyyx\cdots$ and $yxya'b = x^3a'b$, which is less than $yxxyyx\cdots = yxf$ in the order used in the Diamond Lemma, but this is impossible.

Combining the above assertions, the induction shows that a is a left subword of the word f. □

Proposition 1.8. *Let A be the algebra $\mathbb{F}$ and G be a nontrivial finite group coacting on A such that x and y are G-homogeneous. Then the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is not AS regular.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.3, we need to consider only the case when G is not abelian. Assume to the contrary that $\mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$ is AS regular. By [KKZ5, Lemma 3.3(2)], $\mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$ has global dimension three. Note that $\mathbb{F}$ is a semigroup algebra $\Bbbk T$ for the semigroup

$$T = \langle x, y \mid x^3 = yxy, y^3 = xyx\rangle$$

and G is a finite factor group T/N for some normal subsemigroup N. We have

(1) The fixed subring $\mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$ is the semigroup ring $\Bbbk N$.
(2) $\mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$ is minimally generated by a finite subset $S \subset N$.
(3) Every monomial in $\mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$ is a product of elements in S.

Note that we have identified a monomial in $\mathbb{F}$ with an element in T. Let g_1 be the image of x in G and g_2 be the image of y in G. Then there is an $s > 1$ such that $(g_2g_2g_1g_1)^s \in N$, or equivalently, $(g_2g_2g_1g_1)^s = e$ in G. Then $f_1 = (yyxx)^s \in \mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$. Similarly we have three other monomials in $\mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$:

$$f_2 = yxx(yyxx)^{s-1}y,$$
$$f_3 = xx(yyxx)^{s-1}yy,$$
$$f_4 = x(yyxx)^{s-1}yyx.$$

Then f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 are four elements in $\mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$. Since f_1 is in $\mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$, $f_1 = a_1b_1$ where a_1 is in the set S. Similarly, we have $a_i \in S$ such that $f_i = a_ib_i$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$. By Lemma 1.7, a_i is a left subword of f_i. By Lemma 1.6(3), as left subwords of f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 respectively, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, are reduced and linearly independent. Therefore the order of S is at least 4, which contradicts Lemma 1.2. Therefore $\mathbb{F}^{co\,G}$ is not AS regular. □

We consider another algebra $\mathbb{H}$ that is generated by x and y subject to the relations

$$x^2y + yx^2 - 2y^3 = 0,$$
$$-2x^3 + xy^2 + y^2x = 0.$$

The following lemma is similar to Lemma 1.5.

Lemma 1.9. *Retain the above notation.*

(1) *$\mathbb{H}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}(-2, -1)$.*
(2) *$\mathbb{H}$ is a graded noetherian AS regular domain of global dimension three with Hilbert series $((1-t)^2(1-t^2))^{-1}$.*
(3) *For each $n > 0$, elements $(xy)^n$ and $(yx)^n$ are linearly independent.*

(4) *For each $n \geq 0$, elements $y(xy)^n$ and $x(yx)^n$ are linearly independent.*

Proof. (1) Set $u = x - y$ and $d = x + y$, then the two relations $u^2 d = -2udu - du^2$ and $ud^2 = -2dud - d^2 u$ are equivalent to the two relations $x^2 y + yx^2 - 2y^3 = 0$ and $-2x^3 + xy^2 + y^2 x = 0$.

(2) Known for $\mathbb{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ for all $\beta \neq 0$.

(3) For any $d \geq 2$, let D_{2d} be the dihedral group of order $2d$ generated by a and b subject to the relations $a^2 = b^2 = (ab)^d = e$ where e is the unit of D_{2d}. Consider the $G := D_{2d}$-coaction on A obtained by setting $\deg_G x = a$ and $\deg_G y = b$. It is clear that A is G-graded. Choosing $d \gg n$, then

$$\deg_G((xy)^n) = (ab)^n \neq (ba)^n = \deg_G((yx)^n),$$

which implies the assertion.

(4) The proof is similar to the proof of part (3), and so it is omitted. □

Proposition 1.10. *Let A be the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ and G be a nontrivial finite group coacting on A such that x and y are G-homogeneous. Then the fixed subring $A^{co\,G}$ is not AS regular.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.3, we may assume that G is non-abelian. Suppose to the contrary that $A^{co\,G}$ is AS regular. Let $g_1 := \deg_G x$ and $g_2 := \deg_G y$. The G-grading forces $g_1^2 = g_2^2$. Let $a := g_1^2 = g_2^2$. If $a \neq e$, then both x^2 and y^2 are in the same G-graded component A_a. By [KKZ5, Theorem 0.3(1)], A_a is free of rank 1 over $A^{co\,G}$. Thus $A_a = x^2 A^{co\,G}$ and $A_a = y^2 A^{co\,G}$. This contradicts the fact that x^2 and y^2 are linearly independent. Therefore $g_1^2 = g_2^2 = e$. As a consequence, G is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{2n} of order $2n$, for some $n \geq 2$. When n is odd the unique largest length element of G with respect to the Coxeter generating set $\{g_1, g_2\}$ is $m = (g_1 g_2)^{(n-1)/2} g_1 = (g_2 g_1)^{(n-1)/2} g_2$, while when n is even it is $m = (g_1 g_2)^{n/2} = (g_2 g_1)^{n/2}$, which is central. By Lemma 1.9(3,4), when n is odd, $(xy)^{(n-1)/2} x$ and $(yx)^{(n-1)/2} y$ are linearly independent elements of degree n in the G-graded component A_m, and when n is even, $(xy)^{n/2}$ and $(yx)^{n/2}$ are linearly independent elements of degree n in A_m. But the smallest degree of elements in A_m is n. This yields a contradiction by [KKZ5, Theorem 0.3(4)]. □

For the rest of this section we assume that G is a finite group coacting on the down-up algebra $\mathbb{D}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 1.11. *Let A be the connected graded algebra $\mathbb{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ with G-coaction. Let x_1 and x_2 be two linearly independent G-homogeneous elements in A_1. Suppose there are two nontrivial relations that hold in A:*

$$f_1 := \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2} c_{i,j,k} x_i x_j x_k = 0,$$

and

$$f_2 := \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2} e_{i,j,k} x_i x_j x_k = 0$$

such that $c_{i,j,k} \neq 0$ and $e_{i,j,k} = 0$ for some (i, j, k). Then, for all (i, j, k) with $e_{i,j,k} \neq 0$, the $x_i x_j x_k$ have the same G-degree.

Proof. Since the monomial $x_ix_jx_k$ does not appear in the relation f_2 with nonzero coefficient, f_1 and f_2 are linearly independent. If f_2 contains two monomials with nonzero coefficients and different G-degrees, then f_2 must be a sum of G-homogeneous pieces $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ for $n \geq 2$, with each G-homogeneous piece a relation in A of degree 3. But then f_1, g_1, and g_2 are three linearly independent relations in A of degree 3, which is a contradiction. □

Proposition 1.12. *Suppose G is a finite non-cyclic group coacting on $A := \mathbb{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ homogeneously and inner faithfully. Then one of the following occurs.*

(1) *$\alpha = 0$ and u and d are G-homogeneous after a change of variables.*
(2) *A is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}$ and using the generators of $\mathbb{F}$, both x and y are G-homogeneous.*
(3) *A is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}$ and using the generators of $\mathbb{H}$, both x and y are G-homogeneous.*
(4) *G is abelian and there are linearly independent elements x and y of $\mathbb{D}(\alpha, -1)$ of degree one such that*
$$\alpha x^2y + (-2-\alpha)xyx + \alpha yx^2 + (2-\alpha)y^3 = 0,$$
$$(2-\alpha)x^3 + \alpha xy^2 + (-2-\alpha)yxy + \alpha y^2x = 0$$
and x and y are G-homogeneous.
(5) *G is abelian and u and d are G-homogeneous after a change of variables.*

Proof. Each of the five parts listed in (1-5) can occur. Part (5) could occur most often, so, for the rest of the proof, we implicitly assume that we are not in the situation of **part (5)**.

Write $A_1 = \Bbbk x + \Bbbk y$ where x, y are G-homogeneous. Then $g_1 := \deg_G x$ and $g_2 := \deg_G y$ generate G. Since G is not cyclic, we have
$$e \neq g_1 \neq g_2 \neq e.$$

Case 1 $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$**:** First, we assume that $A = \mathbb{D}_1$. Then, for any two linearly independent elements x, y of A of degree 1, one can check that x^2 and y^2 are central. Therefore we can assume that x and y are G-homogeneous, by the second paragraph. After changing $\{u, d\}$ to $\{x, y\}$, we can assume that u and d are G-homogeneous. Thus **part (1)** holds for $A = \mathbb{D}_1$.

Case 2 $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 1$**:** Secondly, we assume that $A = \mathbb{D}_\beta$ where $\beta \neq 1$. As noted in the second paragraph, there are two elements x and y in degree 1 with different G-grades. We consider two cases.

Case 2a: $x = cu$ and $y = au + bd$ for some $a, b, c \in \Bbbk$. Since x and y are linearly independent, $bc \neq 0$. If $a = 0$, then we can choose $x = u$ and $y = d$ after a change of variables, and the assertion in **part (1)** follows. Now we assume $abc \neq 0$. Up to another change of variables, we have $x = u$ and $y = u + d$, or equivalently, $u = x$ and $d = y - x$. Then the two relations (E0.0.1) and (E0.0.2) become

$$x^2y - \beta yx^2 + (\beta - 1)x^3 = 0, \tag{E1.12.1}$$
$$xy^2 - \beta y^2x + (1-\beta)x^3 = x^2y + (1-\beta)xyx - \beta yx^2. \tag{E1.12.2}$$

Combining these two relations, one obtains that

$$xy^2 - \beta y^2x + (\beta - 1)xyx = 0. \tag{E1.12.3}$$

Then (E1.12.1) must be G-homogeneous by Lemma 1.11. As a consequence, x and y have the same G-grade, which contradicts the fact that G is not cyclic.

Case 2b: Up to a change of variables, the remaining case is when $u = x - y$ and $d = x - ay$ where $a \neq 0, 1$. The two relations (E0.0.1) and (E0.0.2) become

$$(1-\beta)x^3 + (-a+\beta)x^2y + (-1+\beta)xyx + (-1+a\beta)yx^2$$
$$+(a-\beta)xy^2 + (a-a\beta)yxy + (1-a\beta)y^2x + (-a+a\beta)y^3 = 0$$

and

$$(1-\beta)x^3 + (-a+\beta)x^2y + (-a+a\beta)xyx + (-1+a\beta)yx^2$$
$$+(a^2-a\beta)xy^2 + (a-a\beta)yxy + (a-a^2\beta)y^2x + (-a^2+a^2\beta)y^3 = 0.$$

Since $a \neq 1$, by linear combination, we have

$$(1-\beta)x^3 + (-a+\beta)x^2y + (-1+a\beta)yx^2 + a(1-\beta)yxy = 0$$

and

$$(1-\beta)xyx + (-a+\beta)xy^2 + (-1+a\beta)y^2x + a(1-\beta)y^3 = 0.$$

Note that $1-\beta \neq 0$. Since G is not cyclic, $-a+\beta = 0$ and $-1+a\beta = 0$ by Lemma 1.11. Thus $\beta = a = -1$, and we have the relations

$$x^3 - yxy = 0 \text{ and } y^3 - xyx = 0$$

which is **part (2)**.

Case 3 $\alpha\beta \neq 0$**:** Thirdly, we assume that $A = \mathbb{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ where $\alpha\beta \neq 0$. As before we need to consider two cases by Lemma 1.11.

Case 3a: Let $x = u$ and $y = u + d$, or equivalently $u = x$ and $d = y - x$. The relations (E0.0.1) and (E0.0.2) become

$$x^2y - \alpha xyx - \beta yx^2 + (-1+\alpha+\beta)x^3 = 0$$

and

$$(1-\alpha-\beta)x^3 + (-1+\alpha)x^2y + (-1+\beta)xyx$$
$$+(\alpha+\beta)yx^2 + xy^2 + (-\alpha)yxy + (-\beta)y^2x = 0.$$

By adding these two relations, we obtain that

$$\alpha x^2y + (-1-\alpha+\beta)xyx + \alpha yx^2 + xy^2 - \alpha yxy - \beta y^2x = 0.$$

If $-1+\alpha+\beta \neq 0$, this forces $\deg_G(x^2y) = \deg_G(xy^2)$. This implies that $\deg_G(x) = \deg_G(y)$ and G is cyclic, a contradiction. If $-1+\alpha+\beta = 0$, we still have $\deg_G(x^2y) = \deg_G(xyx)$, which implies that G is abelian. Further, by Lemma 1.11, up to a common scalar, the relation

$$x^2y - \alpha xyx - \beta yx^2 = 0$$

must coincide with the relation

$$\alpha x^2y + (-1-\alpha+\beta)xyx + \alpha yx^2 = 0.$$

As a consequence, $(\alpha, \beta) = (2, -1)$, and we have (a special case of) **part (4)**.

Case 3b: The remaining case is when $u = x - y$ and $d = x - ay$ where $a \neq 0, 1$. Using these generators to expand the relations (E0.0.1) and (E0.0.2) we have

$$(1-\alpha-\beta)x^3 + (-a+\alpha+\beta)x^2y + (-1+a\alpha+\beta)xyx + (-1+\alpha+a\beta)yx^2$$
$$+(a-a\alpha-\beta)xy^2 + (a-\alpha-a\beta)yxy + (1-a\alpha-a\beta)y^2x + a(-1+\alpha+\beta)y^3 = 0$$

and

$$(1-\alpha-\beta)x^3 + (-a+a\alpha+\beta)x^2y + (-a+\alpha+a\beta)xyx + (-1+a\alpha+a\beta)yx^2 +$$
$$a(a-\alpha-\beta)xy^2 + a(1-a\alpha-\beta)yxy + a(1-\alpha-a\beta)y^2x + a^2(-1+\alpha+\beta)y^3 = 0.$$

If $1-\alpha-\beta=0$, then the two relations above become

$$(1-a)x^2y+\alpha(a-1)xyx+\beta(a-1)yx^2 \\ +\beta(a-1)xy^2+\alpha(a-1)yxy+(1-a)y^2x=0$$

and

$$\beta(1-a)x^2y+\alpha(1-a)xyx+(a-1)yx^2 \\ +a(a-1)xy^2+a\alpha(1-a)yxy+a\beta(1-a)y^2x=0.$$

Since $a\neq 1$, after dividing by $1-a$, we have

$$x^2y-\alpha xyx-\beta yx^2-\beta xy^2-\alpha yxy+y^2x=0$$

and

$$\beta x^2y+\alpha xyx-yx^2-axy^2+a\alpha yxy+a\beta y^2x=0$$

which are equivalent to

$$(1+\beta)x^2y+(-1-\beta)yx^2+(-\beta-a)xy^2+\alpha(a-1)yxy+(1+a\beta)y^2x=0$$

and

$$(a+\beta)x^2y+\alpha(1-a)xyx+(-a\beta-1)yx^2+(-a\beta-a)xy^2+(a+a\beta)y^2x=0.$$

If $\beta\neq -1$, by Lemma 1.11, we have $\deg_G(xyx)=\deg_G(y^2x)$, which implies that G is cyclic, a contradiction. If $\beta=-1$ (and then $\alpha=2$), then the above two relations become

$$xy^2-2yxy+y^2x=0,$$
$$x^2y-2xyx+yx^2=0,$$

so by Lemma 1.11 G is abelian. Therefore we have **part (4)**.

If $1-\alpha-\beta\neq 0$, the two relations given at the beginning of Case 3b are equivalent to

$$\alpha(1-a)x^2y+(a-1)(1+\alpha-\beta)xyx+\alpha(1-a)yx^2+(1-a)(a-\beta)xy^2 \\ +\alpha(a^2-1)yxy+(1-a)(1-a\beta)y^2x+a(1-a)(-1+\alpha+\beta)y^3=0,$$

and

$$(1-a)(1-\alpha-\beta)x^3+(a-1)(a-\beta)x^2y+\alpha(1-a^2)xyx+(1-a)(-1+a\beta)yx^2 \\ +a\alpha(a-1)xy^2+a(1-a)(1+\alpha-\beta)yxy+a\alpha(a-1)y^2x=0.$$

Since $a\neq 1$, we can simplify them to obtain the following two relations

$$\alpha x^2y-(1+\alpha-\beta)xyx+\alpha yx^2+(a-\beta)xy^2-\alpha(a+1)yxy \\ +(1-a\beta)y^2x+a(-1+\alpha+\beta)y^3=0,$$

and

$$(1-\alpha-\beta)x^3-(a-\beta)x^2y+\alpha(1+a)xyx+(-1+a\beta)yx^2 \\ -a\alpha xy^2+a(1+\alpha-\beta)yxy-a\alpha y^2x=0.$$

Suppose $a\neq -1$. Since $\alpha(a-1)\neq 0$, the coefficients of yxy and y^3 are nonzero. By Lemma 1.11, we have $\deg_G(yxy)=\deg_G(y^3)$. This forces G to be cyclic, a contradiction. If $a=-1$ and $\beta\neq -1$, a similar argument leads to a contradiction. If $a=-1=\beta$, we obtain two relations

$$\alpha x^2y+(-2-\alpha)xyx+\alpha yx^2+(2-\alpha)y^3=0,$$
$$(2-\alpha)x^3+\alpha xy^2+(-2-\alpha)yxy+\alpha y^2x=0.$$

In addition, if $\alpha \neq -2$, then the coefficients of x^2y and xyx are nonzero. By Lemma 1.11, $\deg(x^2y) = \deg(xyx)$ which implies that G is abelian, and so we have **part (4)**; if $\alpha = -2$, the two relations become

$$x^2y + yx^2 - 2y^3 = 0,$$
$$-2x^3 + xy^2 + y^2x = 0,$$

which is **part (3)**. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 0.1. If G is abelian, then the assertion follows from Lemma 1.3. We now assume that G is non-abelian. By Proposition 1.12 there are three cases (parts (1), (2) and (3) occur). The first case follows from Proposition 1.4, the second case follows from Proposition 1.8, and the third case follows from Proposition 1.10. □

2. An Example

In this short section we provide an example that negatively answers the question mentioned at the end of the introduction.

Example 2.1. Consider the algebra $\mathbb{D} := \mathbb{D}(0, 1)$, and let G be the dihedral group

$$D_8 = \{e, \rho, \rho^2, \rho^3, r, \rho r, \rho^2 r, \rho^3 r\},$$

where ρ is a rotation of order 4 and r is a reflection. Let $g_1 = r$ and $g_2 = \rho$. Then $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generate the group G. Define the G-coaction on $\mathbb{D}$ by setting $\deg_G u = \rho$ and $\deg_G d = r$. By a computation similar to [KKZ3, Example 7.1], one sees that the homological co-determinant of the G-coaction is $g_1^2 g_2^2 = \rho^2$, which is not trivial. In other words, the $(\Bbbk G)^\circ$-action on $\mathbb{D}$ has nontrivial homological determinant. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2 below, the fixed subring $\mathbb{D}^{co\ G}$ is $\Bbbk[(du)^2, (ud)^2, d^4, u^2]$ which is isomorphic to $\Bbbk[x, y, z, t]/(xy - zt^2)$. As a consequence, $\mathbb{D}^{co\ G}$ is a commutative complete intersection (and hence AS Gorenstein), but not AS regular.

Lemma 2.2. *Retain the notation as the above example. Let $\mathbb{D}_g$ be the g-component of $\mathbb{D}$ for all $g \in D_8$.*

(1) $\mathbb{D}_e = \mathbb{D}^{co\ G} = \Bbbk[(du)^2, (ud)^2, d^4, u^2]$.
(2) $\mathbb{D}_\rho = u\mathbb{D}^{co\ G} \cong \mathbb{D}^{co\ G}(-1)$.
(3) $\mathbb{D}_{\rho^2} = u^2\mathbb{D}^{co\ G} \cong \mathbb{D}^{co\ G}(-2)$.
(4) $\mathbb{D}_{\rho^3} = u^3\mathbb{D}^{co\ G} + dud\mathbb{D}^{co\ G}$.
(5) $\mathbb{D}_r = d\mathbb{D}^{co\ G} + udu\mathbb{D}^{co\ G}$.
(6) $\mathbb{D}_{\rho r} = ud\mathbb{D}^{co\ G} + u^2du\mathbb{D}^{co\ G} \cong u\mathbb{D}_r$.
(7) $\mathbb{D}_{\rho^2 r} = u^2d\mathbb{D}^{co\ G} + u^3du\mathbb{D}^{co\ G} \cong u^2\mathbb{D}_r$.
(8) $\mathbb{D}_{\rho^3 r} = u^3d\mathbb{D}^{co\ G} + du\mathbb{D}^{co\ G}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.1(3), every G-homogeneous element in $\mathbb{D}$ is a linear combination of monomials of the form $u^i(du)^j d^k$ for some $i, j, k \geq 0$. Note that

$$\deg_G d^k = \begin{cases} r & k \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & k \text{ is even} \end{cases}, \quad \text{and} \quad \deg_G (du)^j = \begin{cases} r\rho & j \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & j \text{ is even} \end{cases}.$$

This implies that

$$\deg_G (du)^j d^k = \begin{cases} \rho^3 & j \text{ and } k \text{ are odd} \\ r & j \text{ is even and } k \text{ is odd} \\ r\rho & j \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even} \\ 1 & j \text{ and } k \text{ are even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\deg_G u^i (du)^j d^k = \begin{cases} \rho^{i+3} & j \text{ and } k \text{ are odd} \\ \rho^i r & j \text{ is even and } k \text{ is odd} \\ \rho^i r \rho = \rho^{i-1} r & j \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even} \\ \rho^i & j \text{ and } k \text{ are even.} \end{cases} \tag{E2.2.1}$$

(1) It is clear that elements $(du)^2, (ud)^2, d^4, u^2$ are in the fixed subring. If $u^i (du)^j d^k$ is in $\mathbb{D}^{co\, G}$, then formula (E2.2.1) shows that this could happen only when j and k are odd and $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$, or when j and k are even and $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$. The assertion follows.

(2) If $u^i (du)^j d^k$ is in $\mathbb{D}_\rho$, then formula (E2.2.1) shows that this could happen only when j and k are odd and $i + 3 \equiv 1 \mod 4$, or when j and k are even and $i \equiv 1 \mod 4$. In both cases, $i \geq 1$. Thus $u^i (du)^j d^k \in u\mathbb{D}$, and, as a consequence, $u^i (du)^j d^k \in u\mathbb{D}^{co\, G}$.

(3) The proof is similar to the proof of (1,2).

(4) Continue the computation in the proof of parts (1,2), if $\deg_G u^i (du)^j d^k = \rho^3$, then this could happen only when j and k are odd and $i + 3 \equiv 3 \mod 4$, or when j and k are even and $i \equiv 3 \mod 4$. In the first case, i could be 0 and $u^i (du)^j d^k \in dud\mathbb{D}$; and in the second case, i could be 3 and $u^i (du)^j d^k \in u^3\mathbb{D}$. This implies that $u^i (du)^j d^k \in u^3\mathbb{D}^{co\, G} + dud\mathbb{D}^{co\, G}$.

(5-8) The proofs are similar to the proof of (4) and omitted. □

References

[AP] J. Alev and P. Polo, A rigidity theorem for finite group actions on enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras, Adv. Math. **111** (1995), no. 2, 208–226.

[AS] M. Artin and W. F. Schelter, Graded algebras of global dimension 3, Adv. in Math. **66** (1987), no. 2, 171–216.

[BB] T. Banica and J. Bichon, Hopf images and inner faithful representations, Glasg. Math. J. **52** (2010), no. 3, 677-703.

[BHZ] Y.-H. Bao, J.-W. He and J.J. Zhang, *Pertinency of Hopf actions and quotient categories of Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, (2016) preprint, arXiv:1603.02346

[BR] G. Benkart and T. Roby, Down-up algebras, J. Algebra **209** (1998), no. 1, 305-344.

[Be] G.M. Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, Adv. in Math. **29** (1978), no. 2, 178-218.

[CKWZ1] K. Chan, E. Kirkman, C. Walton and J.J. Zhang, McKay correspondence for semisimple Hopf actions on regular graded algebras I, in preparation (2016).

[CKWZ2] K. Chan, E. Kirkman, C. Walton and J.J. Zhang, McKay correspondence for semisimple Hopf actions on regular graded algebras II, in preparation (2016).

[Ki] E. Kirkman, Invariant theory of Artin-Schelter regular algebras: a survey, preprint, (2015), arXiv:1506.06121v1.

[KK] E. Kirkman and J. Kuzmanovich, Fixed subrings of Noetherian graded regular rings, J. Algebra **288** (2005), no. 2, 463–484.

[KKZ1] E. Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich, and J.J. Zhang, Rigidity of graded regular algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 12, 6331–6369.

[KKZ2] E. Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich and J.J. Zhang, A Shephard-Todd-Chevalley theorem for noncommutative regular algebras, Algebr. Represent. Theory **13** (2010), no. 2, 127–158

[KKZ3] E. Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich and J.J. Zhang, Gorenstein subrings of invariants under Hopf algebra actions, J. Algebra **322** (2009), no. 10, 3640–3669.
[KKZ4] E.Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich, and J.J. Zhang, Invariant theory of finite group actions on down-up algebras, Transform. Groups **20** (2015), no. 1, 113–165.
[KKZ5] E.Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich, and J.J. Zhang, Nakayama automorphism and rigidity of dual reflection group coactions, (2016) arXiv:1605.01958.
[KMP] E. Kirkman, I. Musson, D. Passman, Noetherian down-up algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), 3161-3167.
[ST] G.C. Shephard and J.A. Todd, Finite unitary reflection groups, Canadian J. Math. **6**, (1954). 274–304.
[Ste] D.R. Stephenson, Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension three, J. Algebra **183** (1996), no. 1, 55–73.

Chen: School of Mathematical Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, Fujian, China
E-mail address: chenjianmin@xmu.edu.cn

Kirkman: Department of Mathematics, P. O. Box 7388, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27109
E-mail address: kirkman@wfu.edu

Zhang: Department of Mathematics, Box 354350, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA
E-mail address: zhang@math.washington.edu